



From:

Madeleine Hunt
General Secretary, Heritage Party
gensec@heritageparty.org

To:

All Members of Parliament and Local Authorities
United Kingdom

Subject: Formal Objection to Solar Infrastructure Contracts Based on
Legal, Strategic, and Ethical Failures

Dear Members of Parliament and Local Authorities,

As General Secretary of the Heritage Party, I write on behalf of our members and communities to issue a formal objection to the ongoing approval and procurement of solar energy infrastructure, including battery installations - on the basis of severe breaches in legal due diligence, ethical compliance, and strategic risk management.

Under UK law, all public authorities are bound by obligations to act transparently, ethically, and in the public interest. On these grounds, the continued pursuit of solar infrastructure under current conditions is indefensible.

1. Breach of Modern Slavery Compliance

Section 54 of the **Modern Slavery Act 2015** requires all public bodies and suppliers to ensure that neither slavery nor forced labour is present in their supply chains.

Major global suppliers of solar panels, including JA Solar and Trina Solar, are documented as sourcing materials and labour through facilities operating in the Xinjiang region of China, where forced labour has been widely condemned by UK and international agencies. In March

2024, Energy Secretary Ed Miliband confirmed public funds would no longer support such contracts (*Financial Times*, 2024).

Any local authority proceeding with such suppliers may be exposed to legal challenge under procurement law, and public scrutiny for complicity in human rights abuses.

2. Violation of Public Procurement Law

Under **The Public Contracts Regulations 2015**, Regulation 18, all contracts must uphold principles of transparency, equal treatment, and sustainable procurement.

Awarding contracts to developers that cannot verify ethical sourcing or provide detailed cost–benefit assessments - especially where maintenance or supply may be disrupted by tariffs or geopolitical conflict, constitutes a failure of procurement standards. FOI disclosures further reveal that councils have no departmental breakdown of Net Zero-related funding, raising major transparency concerns.

3. Contradiction With Net Zero and Climate Policy

Geoengineering programs, including solar radiation management (SRM) - are now officially recognised and endorsed by international partners, including the EU. These trials aim to reduce solar irradiance, thereby **directly undermining the productivity of solar infrastructure**.

It must also be noted that solar infrastructure is being promoted alongside the rise of **geoengineering programs**, a practice long dismissed as conspiracy, but now openly commercialised by companies offering “climate modification” via aerosol-based atmospheric interventions. Firms such as Make Sunsets and affiliated initiatives have **publicly published documentation and service models for artificial clouding and solar radiation control**, claiming temperature suppression already in progress. These operations have begun without robust environmental assessment or public consultation. The implications for weather systems, wildlife, and agriculture are serious and unresolved. **No energy policy or solar investment strategy can be justified without first reconciling this deliberate interference with sunlight itself.**

It must also be recognised that none of these centralised interventions - including Net Zero restructuring and geoengineering trials, would be politically or administratively possible without the climate emergency declarations made by local authorities.

These declarations, often passed without consultation or measurable data, are now being cited as justification for permanent environmental changes, lifestyle restrictions, and infrastructure developments that would otherwise lack public or legal support.

FOI responses gathered by our team show that **no council has provided evidence of local CO₂ levels**, nor data models justifying the declaration of a climate emergency within their jurisdiction. In legal terms, the foundation upon which these extraordinary measures rest is unsound.

Without evidence, there can be no lawful emergency. Without consent, there can be no democratic mandate.

4. Misrepresentation of Solar Efficiency

Claims that solar panels “only need light” are misleading. While panels can function under diffuse light, aerosol-related sky dimming and atmospheric manipulation substantially reduce efficiency. These environmental variables - currently excluded from planning applications - pose real threats to output and economic viability.

5. Failure to Meet Planning Policy Framework Standards (NPPF)

The **National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)** requires all development to be:

- **Evidence-based**
- **Environmentally sustainable**
- **Locally justified**

However, FOI responses and formal correspondence with councils across the UK show:

- **No localised CO₂ measurements exist** to support climate emergency declarations
- **No documented local housing demand figures** exist to support mass development
- **No departmental-level breakdown of Net Zero spending** is available in most cases

These admissions confirm that key development decisions are being made without baseline evidence. The UK contributes less than 1% of global CO₂ emissions. Local councils hold no data to justify radical interventions into economy, ecology, or public life. To proceed without this data is not “emergency action”, it is **ideological enforcement by assumption**.

Heritage Party Position

We formally call for:

1. An immediate **moratorium on all new solar and battery infrastructure approvals**
2. A full review of supply chain legality under the **Modern Slavery Act**
3. Public clarification on the contradiction between solar investment and **geoengineering policy**
4. Legal assurance of future viability given **current and projected trade restrictions**
5. Full public audits of **Net Zero spending, planning assumptions, and evidentiary baselines**

This is not an ideological statement. It is a legal and moral intervention.

The public has not consented to hidden supply chains, degraded landscapes, or the dismantling of planning law. The Heritage Party will continue to challenge all contracts that fail the tests of law, logic, and public trust.

Yours faithfully,
Madeleine Hunt
General Secretary
Heritage Party

Heritage Party, Unit 77, 26 The Hornet, Chichester, PO19 7BB

